

# Plastic Analysis and Design of Structures

## Part 3

S. Erfani

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach :

*Reference External Force :*

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}$$

*– Kinematic Equation :*

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}}$$

*– Kinematically Admissible Condition:*

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} > 0$$

*– Power Equality:*

$$\mu_k \bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{s}_0^T |\dot{\mathbf{e}}|$$

*– Upper Bound Theorm:*

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = \frac{\mathbf{s}_0^T |\dot{\mathbf{e}}|}{\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}}}$$

*Suppose :*

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 1$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach :

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = \mathbf{s}_0^T |\dot{\mathbf{e}}|$$



*Objective Function*

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} - \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 1$$



*Constraints*

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ = \frac{|\dot{\mathbf{e}}| + \dot{\mathbf{e}}}{2}$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^- = \frac{|\dot{\mathbf{e}}| - \dot{\mathbf{e}}}{2}$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} = \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ - \dot{\mathbf{e}}^-$$

$$|\dot{\mathbf{e}}| = \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ + \dot{\mathbf{e}}^-$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach :

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ + \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^-$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ - \dot{\mathbf{e}}^- - \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 1$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{d}}^+ = \frac{|\dot{\mathbf{d}}| + \dot{\mathbf{d}}}{2}$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{d}}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{d}}^- = \frac{|\dot{\mathbf{d}}| - \dot{\mathbf{d}}}{2}$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{d}}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{d}} = \dot{\mathbf{d}}^+ - \dot{\mathbf{d}}^-$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach :

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ + \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^-$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ - \dot{\mathbf{e}}^- - \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}}^+ + \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}}^- = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}}^+ - \bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}}^- = 1$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{d}}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{d}}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach :

$$\mathbf{I}\dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathbf{I}_{m \times m} \dot{\mathbf{e}}_m = \mathbf{B}_{m \times n} \dot{\mathbf{d}}_n ; n = m - s$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{I} \\ \hline \end{array} \right]_{m \times m} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{\mathbf{e}} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}_m = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{B} \\ \hline \end{array} \right]_{m \times n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{\mathbf{d}} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}_n$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{P}^T \\ \hline \mathbf{S}^T \end{array} \right]_{n \times m} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{\mathbf{e}} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}_m = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{I} \\ \hline \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right]_{n \times n} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{\mathbf{d}} \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}_n$$

$$\mathbf{P}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}} = \dot{\mathbf{d}}$$

$$\mathbf{S}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}} = 0$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach :

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ + \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^-$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ - \dot{\mathbf{e}}^- - \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 1$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}} = \dot{\mathbf{d}}$$

$$\mathbf{S}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ + \mathbf{s}_0^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^-$$

$$\mathbf{S}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ - \mathbf{S}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^- = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \mathbf{P}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ - \bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \mathbf{P}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}^- = 1$$



$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$

*Equation of Compatibility*

## Example:

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = 0.90A \\ A_2 = 0.96A \\ A_3 = A \end{cases}$$



$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{y1} = 0.8\sigma_0 \\ \sigma_{y2} = 0.06\sigma_0 \\ \sigma_{y3} = \sigma_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_0 A = S_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}_0 = \begin{Bmatrix} 0.72 \\ 0.0576 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} S_0$$

$$\begin{cases} \vec{U}_{xo} = u \\ \downarrow U_{yo} = v \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{d} = \begin{Bmatrix} u \\ v \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}} = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{e} = \begin{Bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Kinematic Equations: } \begin{cases} \dot{e}_1 = 0.6\dot{u} + 0.8\dot{v} \\ \dot{e}_2 = \dot{v} \\ \dot{e}_3 = -0.8\dot{u} + 0.6\dot{v} \end{cases}$$



Example, continue...:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0.8 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -0.8 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{0.6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{0.8}{0.6} \\ 0 & 1 \\ -0.8 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{0.6} & -\frac{0.8}{0.6} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -0.8 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{0.6} & -\frac{0.8}{0.6} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{0.8}{0.6} & -\frac{1}{0.6} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{Bmatrix}$$

Example, continue...:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.8 & 0 \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.8 & -1 & 1 \\ 0.6 & -0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.8 & 0 \\ 0.6 & -0.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & -1 & 1 \\ 0.6 & -0.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{f}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{Bmatrix} = 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.8 & 0 \\ 0.6 & -0.6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = 1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -0.8 & 0 \\ 0.6 & -0.6 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = 1$$

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = S_0 \begin{bmatrix} 0.72 & 0.0576 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} |\dot{\mathbf{e}}_1| \\ |\dot{\mathbf{e}}_2| \\ |\dot{\mathbf{e}}_3| \end{Bmatrix}$$

## Example, continue...:

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = S_0 \begin{bmatrix} 0.72 & 0.0576 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} |\dot{\mathbf{e}}_1| \\ |\dot{\mathbf{e}}_2| \\ |\dot{\mathbf{e}}_3| \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{0.8}{0.6} & -\frac{1}{0.6} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{0.6} & -\frac{0.8}{0.6} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_1 \\ \dot{e}_2 \\ \dot{e}_3 \end{Bmatrix} = 1$$

$\frac{-\mu_k}{S_0}$	$\dot{e}_1^+$	$\dot{e}_2^+$	$\dot{e}_3^+$	$\dot{e}_1^-$	$\dot{e}_2^-$	$\dot{e}_3^-$	<i>RHS</i>
0	0.8	-1	0.6	-0.8	1	-0.6	0
0	1	-0.8	0	-1	0.8	0	0.6
1	0.72	0.0576	1	0.72	0.0576	1	0

Example, continue...:

	$-\frac{\mu_k}{S_0}$	$\dot{e}_1^+$	$\dot{e}_2^+$	$\dot{e}_3^+$	$\dot{e}_1^-$	$\dot{e}_2^-$	$\dot{e}_3^-$	<i>RHS</i>
$\dot{e}_2^+$	0	0	1	-1.6667	0	-1	1.6667	1.3333
$\dot{e}_1^+$	0	1	0	-1.3333	-1	0	1.3333	1.6667
	1	0	0	2.0560	1.44	0.1152	-0.056	-1.2768

0.80

1.25

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e}_1^+ = 1.6667 \\ \dot{e}_2^+ = 1.3333 \\ \dot{e}_3^+ = 0 \\ \dot{e}_1^- = 0 \\ \dot{e}_2^- = 0 \\ \dot{e}_3^- = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{-\mu_k}{S_0} = -1.2768$$

$$\mu_k = 1.2768 S_0$$

## Example, continue...:


	$\frac{-\mu_k}{S_0}$	$\dot{e}_1^+$	$\dot{e}_2^+$	$\dot{e}_3^+$	$\dot{e}_1^-$	$\dot{e}_2^-$	$\dot{e}_3^-$	<i>RHS</i>
$\dot{e}_3^-$	0	0	0.6	-1	0	-0.6	1	0.8
$\dot{e}_1^+$	0	1	-0.8	0	-1	0.8	0	0.6
	1	0	0.0336	2	1.44	0.0816	0	-1.232

$$\begin{cases} \dot{e}_1^+ = 0.6 \\ \dot{e}_2^+ = 0 \\ \dot{e}_3^+ = 0 \\ \dot{e}_1^- = 0 \\ \dot{e}_2^- = 0 \\ \dot{e}_3^- = 0.8 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{-\mu_k}{S_0} = -1.232$$



$$\mu_k = 1.232S_0$$



$$S_p = S_0 - S$$



$$\begin{cases} 0 = 0.72S_0 - S_1 \rightarrow S_1 = 0.72S_0 \\ 0.0336S_0 = 0.0576S_0 - S_2 \rightarrow S_2 = 0.024S_0 \\ 2S_0 = S_0 - S_3 \rightarrow S_3 = -S_0 \end{cases}$$

$$S_n = S - (-S_0)$$



$$\begin{cases} 1.44S_0 = 0.72S_0 + S_1 \rightarrow S_1 = 0.72S_0 \\ 0.0816S_0 = 0.0576S_0 + S_2 \rightarrow S_2 = 0.024S_0 \\ 0 = S_0 + S_3 \rightarrow S_3 = -S_0 \end{cases}$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach

## Application in Frames:

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{B} \dot{\mathbf{d}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{e}}_a \\ \dot{\mathbf{e}}_b \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_a \\ \mathbf{B}_b \end{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{d}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \dot{\mathbf{e}}_b \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_a \\ \mathbf{B}_b \end{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{d}}$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{e}}_b = \begin{Bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_a \\ \mathbf{B}_b \end{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{d}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^T \\ \mathbf{S}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} n \times m \\ (m-n) \times m \end{matrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{e}}_b \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} n \times n \\ (m-n) \times n \end{matrix} \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{d}} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}_b = \dot{\mathbf{d}}$$


$$\mathbf{S}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}}_b = \mathbf{0}$$

# Definition of Frames Plastic Deformations:

## -Plastic Extension:

$$\dot{e}_{ij} = (\dot{u}_j - \dot{u}_i) \cos \alpha_{ij} + (\dot{w}_j - \dot{w}_i) \sin \alpha_{ij} = 0$$

$$\dot{\psi}_{ij} = \frac{\dot{u}_j - \dot{u}_i}{l_{ij}} \sin \alpha_{ij} - \frac{\dot{w}_j - \dot{w}_i}{l_{ij}} \cos \alpha_{ij}$$



$$\dot{\theta}_{ij} = \dot{\phi}_i - \dot{\psi}_{ij} = \dot{\phi}_i - \left( \frac{\dot{u}_j - \dot{u}_i}{l_{ij}} \sin \alpha_{ij} - \frac{\dot{w}_j - \dot{w}_i}{l_{ij}} \cos \alpha_{ij} \right)$$

$$\dot{\theta}_{ji} = \dot{\phi}_j - \dot{\psi}_{ij} = \dot{\phi}_j - \left( \frac{\dot{u}_j - \dot{u}_i}{l_{ij}} \sin \alpha_{ij} - \frac{\dot{w}_j - \dot{w}_i}{l_{ij}} \cos \alpha_{ij} \right)$$



## -Plastic Rotations:

# Example:

$$\mathbf{d} = \begin{Bmatrix} u_2 \\ w_2 \\ \phi_2 \\ u_3 \\ w_3 \\ \phi_3 \\ u_4 \\ w_4 \\ \phi_4 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{e} = \begin{Bmatrix} e_{12} \\ e_{23} \\ e_{34} \\ e_{45} \\ \theta_{12} \\ \theta_{21} \\ \theta_{23} \\ \theta_{32} \\ \theta_{34} \\ \theta_{43} \\ \theta_{45} \\ \theta_{54} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$





Example, continue...:

$$\underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_{12} \\ \dot{e}_{23} \\ \dot{e}_{34} \\ \dot{e}_{45} \\ \dot{\theta}_{12} \\ \dot{\theta}_{21} \\ \dot{\theta}_{23} \\ \dot{\theta}_{32} \\ \dot{\theta}_{34} \\ \dot{\theta}_{43} \\ \dot{\theta}_{45} \\ \dot{\theta}_{54} \end{Bmatrix}}_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1/L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/L & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/L & -1/L & 1 & 1/L & 1/L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/L & -1/L & 0 & 1/L & 1/L & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & -1/L & 1 & -1/L & 1/L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & -1/L & 0 & -1/L & 1/L & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{B}} \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u}_2 \\ \dot{w}_2 \\ \dot{\phi}_2 \\ \dot{u}_3 \\ \dot{w}_3 \\ \dot{\phi}_3 \\ \dot{u}_4 \\ \dot{w}_4 \\ \dot{\phi}_4 \end{Bmatrix}}_{\dot{\mathbf{d}}}$$

Example, continue...:

$$\underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dot{\theta}_{12} \\ \dot{\theta}_{21} \\ \dot{\theta}_{23} \\ \dot{\theta}_{32} \\ \dot{\theta}_{34} \\ \dot{\theta}_{43} \\ \dot{\theta}_{45} \\ \dot{\theta}_{54} \end{Bmatrix}}_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1/L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/L & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/L & -1/L & 1 & 1/L & 1/L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/L & -1/L & 0 & 1/L & 1/L & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & -1/L & 1 & -1/L & 1/L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & -1/L & 0 & -1/L & 1/L & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{B}} \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u}_2 \\ \dot{w}_2 \\ \dot{\phi}_2 \\ \dot{u}_3 \\ \dot{w}_3 \\ \dot{\phi}_3 \\ \dot{u}_4 \\ \dot{w}_4 \\ \dot{\phi}_4 \end{Bmatrix}}_{\dot{\mathbf{d}}}$$

Example, continue...:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_{12} \\ \dot{\theta}_{21} \\ \dot{\theta}_{23} \\ \dot{\theta}_{32} \\ \dot{\theta}_{34} \\ \dot{\theta}_{43} \\ \dot{\theta}_{45} \\ \dot{\theta}_{54} \end{Bmatrix}}_{\dot{\mathbf{e}}_b} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.7071 & -0.7071 & 0 & 0.7071 & 0.7071 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1/L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/L & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/L & -1/L & 1 & 1/L & 1/L & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/L & -1/L & 0 & 1/L & 1/L & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & -1/L & 1 & -1/L & 1/L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & -1/L & 0 & -1/L & 1/L & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/L & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{B}} \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{u}_2 \\ \dot{w}_2 \\ \dot{\phi}_2 \\ \dot{u}_3 \\ \dot{w}_3 \\ \dot{\phi}_3 \\ \dot{u}_4 \\ \dot{w}_4 \\ \dot{\phi}_4 \end{Bmatrix}}_{\dot{\mathbf{d}}}$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Static Approach :

– *Equilibrium Equation:*


$$\mu_s \bar{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}$$

– *Plastically Admissible Condition:*

$$-\mathbf{s}_0 \leq \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{s}_0$$

– *Lower Bound Theorem:*

*Maximize :  $\mu_s$*



$$\mathbf{s}_p = \mathbf{s}_0 - \mathbf{s} \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{s}_n = \mathbf{s}_0 + \mathbf{s} \geq 0$$



$$\mathbf{s} = \frac{\mathbf{s}_n - \mathbf{s}_p}{2}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{s}_n + \mathbf{s}_p}{2}$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Static Approach :

$$\text{Minimize : } -\mu_s$$

$$-\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}_p + \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}_n - 2\mu_s \bar{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_p + \mathbf{s}_n = 2\mathbf{s}_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}_p \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_n \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mu_s \geq 0$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Static Approach :

– *Equilibrium Equation:*

$$\mu_s \bar{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}$$

– *Plastically Admissible Condition:*

$$-\mathbf{s}_0 \leq \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{s}_0$$

– *Lower Bound Theorem:*

*Maximize :  $\mu_s$*

$$\mathbf{s}^+ = \frac{|\mathbf{s}| - \mathbf{s}}{2} \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{s}^- = \frac{|\mathbf{s}| - \mathbf{s}}{2} \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}^+ - \mathbf{s}^-$$

$$|\mathbf{s}| = \mathbf{s}^+ + \mathbf{s}^- \leq \mathbf{s}_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}^+ + \mathbf{s}^- + \Delta \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_0$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_0 - |\mathbf{s}| \geq 0$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Static Approach :

$$\text{Minimize : } -\mu_s$$

$$\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}^+ - \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}^- - \mu_s \bar{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{s}^+ + \mathbf{s}^- + \Delta \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$



$$\mathbf{s}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{s} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mu_s \geq 0$$

## Example:

$$\begin{cases} A_1 = 0.90A \\ A_2 = 0.96A \\ A_3 = A \end{cases}$$



$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{y1} = 0.8\sigma_0 \\ \sigma_{y2} = 0.06\sigma_0 \\ \sigma_{y3} = \sigma_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_0 A = S_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}_0 = \begin{Bmatrix} 0.72 \\ 0.0576 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} S_0 = \begin{Bmatrix} S_1^+ + S_1^- + \Delta S_1 \\ S_2^+ + S_2^- + \Delta S_2 \\ S_3^+ + S_3^- + \Delta S_3 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}} = \begin{Bmatrix} S_0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{f} = \mu_s \begin{Bmatrix} S_0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Equilibrium Equations: } \begin{cases} \sum F_x = F \\ \sum F_y = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0.6S_1 - 0.8S_3 = \mu_s S_0 \\ 0.8S_1 + S_2 + 0.6S_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$



## Example, continue...:

$$\text{Equilibrium Equations: } \begin{cases} \sum F_x = F \\ \sum F_y = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0.6S_1 - 0.8S_3 = \mu_s S_0 \\ 0.8S_1 + S_2 + 0.6S_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0 & -0.8 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} S_1^+ - S_1^- \\ S_2^+ - S_2^- \\ S_3^+ - S_3^- \end{Bmatrix} - \mu_s \begin{Bmatrix} S_0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Example, continue...:


$$\mathbf{s}_0 = \begin{Bmatrix} 0.72 \\ 0.0576 \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix} S_0 = \begin{Bmatrix} S_1^+ + S_1^- + \Delta S_1 \\ S_2^+ + S_2^- + \Delta S_2 \\ S_3^+ + S_3^- + \Delta S_3 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 0 & -0.8 \\ 0.8 & 1 & 0.6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} S_1^+ - S_1^- \\ S_2^+ - S_2^- \\ S_3^+ - S_3^- \end{Bmatrix} - \mu_s \begin{Bmatrix} S_0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$\mu_s$	$\frac{S_1^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_2^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_3^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_1^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_2^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_3^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_1}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_2}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_3}{S_0}$	<i>RHS</i>
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0.72
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.0576
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
-1	0.6	0	-0.8	-0.6	0	0.8	0	0	0	0
0	0.8	1	0.6	-0.8	-1	-0.6	0	0	0	0
-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Example, continue...:

-Feasible Solution:




$$\begin{cases} S_1^+ = 0.6S_0 \\ S_2^+ = 0 \\ S_3^+ = 0 \\ S_1^- = 0 \\ S_2^- = 0 \\ S_3^- = 0.8S_0 \\ \Delta S_1 = 0.12S_0 \\ \Delta S_2 = 0.0576S_0 \\ \Delta S_3 = 0.2S_0 \\ \mu_s = 1.0 \end{cases}$$

-Number of Constraints: 5

-Number of Basic Variables: 5

-Number of Variables: 10



$$\begin{cases} S_1^+ = 0.72S_0 \\ S_2^+ = 0 \\ S_3^+ = 0 \\ S_1^- = 0 \\ S_2^- = 0 \\ S_3^- = 0.96S_0 \\ \Delta S_1 = 0 \\ \Delta S_2 = 0.0576S_0 \\ \Delta S_3 = 0.04S_0 \\ \mu_s = 1.2 \end{cases}$$


	$\mu_s$	$\frac{S_1^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_2^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_3^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_1^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_2^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_3^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_1}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_2}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_3}{S_0}$	$RHS$
$S_1^+$	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0.72
$\Delta S_2$	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0.0576
$\Delta S_3$	0	0	1.667	2	-2.667	-1.667	0	-1.333	0	1	0.04
$\mu_s$	1	0	-1.333	0	3.333	1.333	0	1.667	0	0	1.2
$S_3^-$	0	0	-1.667	-1	2.667	1.667	1	1.333	0	0	0.96
	0	0	-1.333	0	3.333	1.333	0	1.667	0	0	1.2

	$\mu_s$	$\frac{S_1^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_2^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_3^+}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_1^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_2^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{S_3^-}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_1}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_2}{S_0}$	$\frac{\Delta S_3}{S_0}$	$RHS$
$S_1^+$	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0.72
$\Delta S_2$	0	0	0	-1.2	1.6	2	0	0.8	1	-0.6	0.0336
$S_2^+$	0	0	1	1.2	-1.6	-1	0	-0.8	0	0.6	0.024
$\mu_s$	1	0	0	1.6	1.2	0	0	0.6	0	0.8	1.232
$S_3^-$	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
	0	0	0	1.6	1.2	0	0	0.6	0	0.8	1.232

Example, continue...:

-Optimum Solution:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_1^+ = 0.72S_0 \\ S_2^+ = 0.024S_0 \\ S_3^+ = 0 \\ S_1^- = 0 \\ S_2^- = 0 \\ S_3^- = S_0 \\ \Delta S_1 = 0 \\ \Delta S_2 = 0.0336S_0 \\ \Delta S_3 = 0 \\ \mu_s = 1.232 \end{array} \right.$$



# Linear Programming in Shakedown Analysis Using Static Approach :

– *Equilibrium Equation :*

– *Shakedown Condition :*

– *Lower Bound Theorm :*

$$\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}_r = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_r + \mu_s \mathbf{s}_{e \min} \leq \mathbf{s}_0 \\ \mathbf{s}_r + \mu_s \mathbf{s}_{e \max} \geq -\mathbf{s}_0 \end{cases}$$

*Maximize :  $\mu_s$*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{s}_r^+ = \frac{|\mathbf{s}_r| - \mathbf{s}_r}{2} \geq 0 \\ \mathbf{s}_r^- = \frac{|\mathbf{s}_r| - \mathbf{s}_r}{2} \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mathbf{s}_r = \mathbf{s}_r^+ - \mathbf{s}_r^-$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{s}_r^+ - \mathbf{s}_r^- + \mu_s \mathbf{s}_{e \min} + \Delta \mathbf{s}_{\min} = \mathbf{s}_0 \\ \mathbf{s}_r^+ - \mathbf{s}_r^- + \mu_s \mathbf{s}_{e \max} - \Delta \mathbf{s}_{\max} = -\mathbf{s}_0 \end{cases}$$

# Linear Programming in Shakedown Analysis Using Static Approach :

$$\text{Minimize : } -\mu_s$$

$$\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}_r^+ - \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}_r^- = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_r^+ - \mathbf{s}_r^- + \mu_s \mathbf{s}_{e\min} + \Delta \mathbf{s}_{\min} = \mathbf{s}_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}_r^+ - \mathbf{s}_r^- + \mu_s \mathbf{s}_{e\max} - \Delta \mathbf{s}_{\max} = -\mathbf{s}_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}_r^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_r^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$


$$\Delta \mathbf{s}_{\min} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{s}_{\max} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mu_s \geq 0$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

-Simple Estimate of Collapse Load, example:




Elastic Limit :

$$\frac{|M|}{M_{el}} + \frac{|N|}{N_0} = 1$$

Plastic Limit :

$$\frac{|M|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{N}{N_0} \right)^2 = 1$$





Example, continue...:

Plastic Limit :

$$\frac{|M|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{N}{N_0} \right)^2 = 1$$

Suppose :

$$h_0 = \frac{M_0}{N_0}$$

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{L}{h_0}$$

Plastic Limit :




$$\frac{|M|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{h_0 N}{M_0} \right)^2 = 1$$

$$\frac{|M|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{LN}{\lambda_0 M_0} \right)^2 = 1$$

## Example, continue....:

-The Collapse Mechanism Without Accounting the Effect of Normal Forces:




$$F = \frac{1.25M_0}{L}$$





## Example, continue....

-The Collapse Mechanism Considering  
The Effect of Normal Forces:

$$F = \mu \frac{1.25M_0}{L}$$



## Example, continue....:





## Plastic Limit in Joint B :

$$\frac{|\mu M_0 / 2|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{L \mu M_0 / L}{\lambda_0 M_0} \right)^2 \leq 1$$

$$2\mu^2 + \lambda_0^2 \mu - 2\lambda_0^2 \leq 0$$

$$\mu \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{4} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + 16} - \lambda_0 \right)$$

## Example, continue....:



Plastic Limit in Joints C, D, E :

$$\frac{|\mu M_0|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{L \mu 2M_0 / L}{\lambda_0 M_0} \right)^2 \leq 1$$

$$4\mu^2 + \lambda_0^2 \mu - \lambda_0^2 \leq 0$$

$$\mu \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{8} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + 16} - \lambda_0 \right)$$

Example, continue....:

Plastic Limit in Joint B :

$$\mu \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{4} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + 16} - \lambda_0 \right)$$

Plastic Limit in Joints C, D, E :

$$\mu \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{8} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + 16} - \lambda_0 \right)$$

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda_0}{8} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + 16} - \lambda_0 \right)$$

In the Rectangular Section:

$$h_0 = \frac{M_0}{N_0} = \frac{\sigma_0 b h^2 / 4}{\sigma_0 b h} = \frac{h}{4}$$


Suppose:

$$\frac{L}{h} = 10 \rightarrow h_0 = \frac{L}{40} \rightarrow \lambda_0 = 40 \rightarrow \mu = 0.9975$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

-Actual Solution:


Plastic Limit :  $f(N, M) = 0$



Linearized Plastically  
Admissible Condition :

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_1 h_0 & b_1 \\ a_2 h_0 & b_2 \\ a_3 h_0 & b_3 \end{bmatrix}}_{\hat{\mathbf{Y}}} \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} |N| \\ |M| \end{Bmatrix}}_{|\hat{\mathbf{s}}|} \leq \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} M_0 \\ M_0 \\ M_0 \end{Bmatrix}}_{\hat{\mathbf{M}}_0}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}|\hat{\mathbf{s}}| \leq \hat{\mathbf{M}}_0$$



# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:


-Actual Solution, continue...:

Suppose the Active Segment of the Plastic Limit Polygon Is k:

$$a_k h_0 N + b_k M = M_0$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} = \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{Bmatrix} = \dot{\lambda}_k \begin{Bmatrix} a_k h_0 \\ b_k \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} a_k h_0 \\ b_k \end{Bmatrix} \dot{\lambda}_k$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} = \begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{Bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_1 h_0 & a_2 h_0 & a_3 h_0 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{bmatrix}}_{\hat{\mathbf{Y}}^T} \underbrace{\begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{\lambda}_k \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$$



$$\hat{\mathbf{e}} = \hat{\mathbf{Y}}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$$

$$D_{\text{int}} = N\dot{e} + M\dot{\theta}$$

$$D_{\text{int}} = \dot{\lambda}_k (a_k h_0 N + b_k M)$$

$$D_{\text{int}} = \dot{\lambda}_k M_0 = \hat{\mathbf{M}}_0^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$$



# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

-Actual Solution, continue...:

Suppose the Active Segment of the Plastic Limit Polygon Is k:

$$a_k h_0 N + b_k M = M_0$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_k \geq 0$$

$$i \neq k$$

$$a_i h_0 N + b_i M \neq M_0$$


$$\dot{\lambda}_i = 0$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ \dot{\lambda}_k \\ 0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}}|\hat{\mathbf{s}}| \leq \hat{\mathbf{M}}_0$$

$$(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}|\hat{\mathbf{s}}| - \hat{\mathbf{M}}_0)^T \hat{\lambda} = 0$$

:Karush – Kuhn – Tucker Condition




# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

-Actual Solution, example:

Simple Estimate:

$$\mu = 0.9975$$

$$\frac{1.2469M_0}{L} < F < \frac{1.2500M_0}{L}$$




Plastic Limit :

$$\frac{|M|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{N}{N_0} \right)^2 = 1$$

Plastic  
Deformation:

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{Bmatrix} = \dot{\lambda} \begin{Bmatrix} 2N / N_0^2 \\ 1 / M_0 \frac{|M|}{M} \end{Bmatrix}$$



# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

Example, continue....:

$$\frac{M_0}{N_0} = h_0 = \frac{h}{4} = \frac{L}{40}$$




Plastic Limit :

$$|M| + \frac{L^2 N^2}{1600 M_0} = M_0$$



Plastic  
Deformation:

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{Bmatrix} = \dot{\lambda} \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{L^2 N}{800 M_0} \\ \frac{|M|}{M} \end{Bmatrix}$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces: Example, continue....



## Example, continue....:



## Plastic Limit in the Joint C:

$$\frac{|\mu M_0|}{M_0} + \left( \frac{L \mu M_0 / L}{\lambda_0 M_0} \right)^2 = 1$$

$$\mu^2 + \lambda_0^2 \mu - \lambda_0^2 = 0$$

$$\mu = \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \left( \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 + 4} - \lambda_0 \right)$$

$$\lambda_0 = 40 \rightarrow \mu = 0.9994$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

Example, continue....:

$$\begin{Bmatrix} N_C \\ M_C \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -0.9994 \frac{M_0}{L} \\ 0.9994 M_0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_C \\ \dot{\theta}_C \end{Bmatrix} = \dot{\lambda}_C \begin{Bmatrix} -\frac{0.9994L}{800} \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} N_D \\ M_D \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -1.995 \frac{M_0}{L} \\ -0.9975 M_0 \end{Bmatrix}$$



$$\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_D \\ \dot{\theta}_D \end{Bmatrix} = \dot{\lambda}_D \begin{Bmatrix} -\frac{1.995L}{800} \\ -1 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} N_E \\ M_E \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} -1.995 \frac{M_0}{L} \\ 0.9975 M_0 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{Bmatrix} \dot{e}_E \\ \dot{\theta}_E \end{Bmatrix} = \dot{\lambda}_E \begin{Bmatrix} -\frac{1.995L}{800} \\ 1 \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$D_{\text{int}} = \dot{\lambda}_C M_0 + \dot{\lambda}_D M_0 + \dot{\lambda}_E M_0$$

## Example, continue....: Compatibility Equations :





## Virtual Work :

$$1\dot{\theta}_E - 0.5\dot{\theta}_C - \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{e}_C + \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{e}_D + \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{e}_E = 0$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_E - 0.5\dot{\lambda}_C - \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{\lambda}_C \frac{-0.9994L}{800} + \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{\lambda}_D \frac{-1.995L}{800} + \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{\lambda}_E \frac{-1.995L}{800} = 0$$

$$3196.01\dot{\lambda}_E - 1598.0012\dot{\lambda}_C - 3.99\dot{\lambda}_D = 0$$

## Example, continue...: Compatibility Equations :



## Virtual Work :

$$1\dot{\theta}_C + 1\dot{\theta}_D + \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{e}_C = 0$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_C - \dot{\lambda}_D - \frac{0.5}{L}\dot{\lambda}_C \frac{0.9994L}{800} = 0$$

$$3198.0012\dot{\lambda}_C - 3200\dot{\lambda}_D = 0$$



Example, continue....:

Compatibility Equations :

$$\begin{cases} 3196.01\dot{\lambda}_E - 1598.0012\dot{\lambda}_C - 3.99\dot{\lambda}_D = 0 \\ 3198.0012\dot{\lambda}_C - 3200\dot{\lambda}_D = 0 \end{cases}$$



$$\begin{cases} \dot{\lambda}_E = 0.5012\dot{\lambda}_C \\ \dot{\lambda}_D = 0.9994\dot{\lambda}_C \end{cases}$$

Dissipation Power :

$$D_{\text{int}} = \dot{\lambda}_C M_0 + \dot{\lambda}_D M_0 + \dot{\lambda}_E M_0$$

$$D_{\text{int}} = 2.5006\dot{\lambda}_C M_0$$

## Example, continue...: Displacements Definition:



## Virtual Work :



$$1\dot{u}_B = L\dot{\theta}_C - 1\dot{e}_D - 1\dot{e}_E$$

$$\dot{u}_B = L\dot{\lambda}_C - \dot{\lambda}_D \frac{-1.995L}{800} - \dot{\lambda}_E \frac{-1.995L}{800}$$

$$\dot{u}_B = 1.0037\dot{\lambda}_C L$$

Example, continue....:

Displacements Definition:



Virtual Work :

$$1\dot{v}_C = 0.5L\dot{\theta}_C - 0.5\dot{e}_D - 0.5\dot{e}_E$$

$$\dot{v}_C = 0.5L\dot{\lambda}_C - 0.5\dot{\lambda}_D \frac{-1.995L}{800} - 0.5\dot{\lambda}_E \frac{-1.995L}{800}$$

$$\dot{v}_C = 0.5019\dot{\lambda}_C L$$

Example, continue...:

$$\dot{W} = F\dot{u}_B + 2F\dot{v}_C$$

$$\dot{W} = 2.0075FL\dot{\lambda}_C$$

Power Equality :

$$D_{\text{int}} = \dot{W}$$

$$2.5006\dot{\lambda}_C M_0 = 2.0075FL\dot{\lambda}_C$$

$$F = 1.2456 \frac{M_0}{L}$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

## -Actual Solution of Collapse Load:

Linearized Plastically Admissible Condition  
For All of The Critical Cross Section:

$$\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{s}| \leq \mathbf{M}_0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (a_1 h_0)_{ij} & (b_1)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (a_2 h_0)_{ij} & (b_2)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (a_3 h_0)_{ij} & (b_3)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_1 h_0)_{kl} & (b_1)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_2 h_0)_{kl} & (b_2)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_3 h_0)_{kl} & (b_3)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_1 h_0)_{mn} & (b_1)_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_2 h_0)_{mn} & (b_2)_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_3 h_0)_{mn} & (b_3)_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} |N_{ij}| \\ |M_{ij}| \\ |N_{kl}| \\ |M_{kl}| \\ |N_{mn}| \\ |M_{mn}| \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} M_{0ij} \\ M_{0ij} \\ M_{0ij} \\ M_{0kl} \\ M_{0kl} \\ M_{0kl} \\ M_{0mn} \\ M_{0mn} \\ M_{0mn} \end{array} \right\}$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

## -Actual Solution of Collapse Load:

### Plastic Deformation

For All of The Critical Cross Section:

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{Y}^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{e}_{ij} \\ \dot{\theta}_{ij} \\ \dot{e}_{kl} \\ \dot{\theta}_{kl} \\ \dot{e}_{mn} \\ \dot{\theta}_{mn} \end{array} \right\} = \left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} (a_1 h_0)_{ij} & (b_1)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (a_2 h_0)_{ij} & (b_2)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (a_3 h_0)_{ij} & (b_3)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_1 h_0)_{kl} & (b_1)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_2 h_0)_{kl} & (b_2)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_3 h_0)_{kl} & (b_3)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_1 h_0)_{mn} & (b_1)_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_2 h_0)_{mn} & (b_2)_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_3 h_0)_{mn} & (b_3)_{mn} \end{array} \right]^T \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{\lambda}_{1ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{1kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{1mn} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2mn} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3mn} \end{array} \right\}$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering the Effect of Normal Forces:

-Actual Solution of Collapse Load:

Dissipation Power

For All of The Critical Cross Section:

$$D_{\text{int}} = \mathbf{M}_0^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$$

$$D_{\text{int}} = \left[ M_{0ij} \quad M_{0ij} \quad M_{0ij} \quad M_{0kl} \quad M_{0kl} \quad M_{0kl} \quad M_{0mn} \quad M_{0mn} \quad M_{0mn} \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \dot{\lambda}_{1ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{1kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{1mn} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2mn} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3mn} \end{array} \right\}$$

# Limit Analysis in Frames, Considering The Effect of Normal Forces:

## -Actual Solution of Collapse Load:

Karush – Kuhn – Tucker Condition  
For All of The Critical Cross Section:

$$(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{s}| - \mathbf{M}_0)^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = 0$$

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} (a_1 h_0)_{ij} & (b_1)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (a_2 h_0)_{ij} & (b_2)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (a_3 h_0)_{ij} & (b_3)_{ij} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_1 h_0)_{kl} & (b_1)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_2 h_0)_{kl} & (b_2)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_3 h_0)_{kl} & (b_3)_{kl} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_1 h_0)_{mn} & (b_1)_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_2 h_0)_{mn} & (b_2)_{mn} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_3 h_0)_{mn} & (b_3)_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} |N_{ij}| \\ |M_{ij}| \\ |N_{kl}| \\ |M_{kl}| \\ |N_{mn}| \\ |M_{mn}| \end{bmatrix} \right\} - \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} M_{0ij} \\ M_{0ij} \\ M_{0ij} \\ M_{0kl} \\ M_{0kl} \\ M_{0kl} \\ M_{0mn} \\ M_{0mn} \\ M_{0mn} \end{bmatrix} \right\}^T \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\lambda}_{1ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3ij} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{1kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3kl} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{1mn} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{2mn} \\ \dot{\lambda}_{3mn} \end{bmatrix} \right\} = 0$$



# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis

## Considering The Effect of Normal Forces Using Static Approach :

– *Equilibrium Equation :*

$$\mu_s \bar{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}$$

– *Plastically Admissible Condition:*

$$\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{s}| \leq \mathbf{M}_0$$

– *Lower Bound Theorm:*

*Maximize  $\mu_s$*

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis

## Considering The Effect of Normal Forces Using Static Approach :

– *Equilibrium Equation :*

$$\mu_s \bar{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}$$

– *Plastically Admissible Condition :*

$$\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{s}| \leq \mathbf{M}_0$$

– *Lower Bound Theorm :*

Maximize  $\mu_s$

$$\mathbf{s}^+ = \frac{|\mathbf{s}| - \mathbf{s}}{2} \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{s}^- = \frac{|\mathbf{s}| - \mathbf{s}}{2} \geq 0$$



$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}^+ - \mathbf{s}^-$$

$$|\mathbf{s}| = \mathbf{s}^+ + \mathbf{s}^-$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0 - \mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{s}| \geq 0$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis

Considering The Effect of Normal Forces Using Static Approach :

*Minimize* :  $-\mu_s$

$$\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}^+ - \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{s}^- - \mu_s \bar{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{s}^+ + \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{s}^- + \Delta \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0$$

$$\mathbf{s}^+ \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{s}^- \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{M} \geq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mu_s \geq 0$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis

## Considering The Effect of Normal Forces Using Kinematic Approach:

– *Kinematic Equation :*

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{B}\dot{\mathbf{d}}$$

– *Normalization :*

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 1$$

– *Krush Kuhn Tucker Condition:*

$$\left(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{s}| - \mathbf{M}_0\right)^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = 0$$

– *Upper Bound Theorm:*

$$\text{Minimize } \mu_k = D_{\text{int}} = \mathbf{M}_0^T \dot{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$$

# Linear Programming in Limit Analysis Using Kinematic Approach :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \mu_k = \mathbf{M}_0^T \dot{\lambda} \\ \dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{B} \dot{\mathbf{d}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \dot{\mathbf{d}} = 1 \\ (\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{s}| - \mathbf{M}_0)^T \dot{\lambda} = 0 \end{array} \right. \quad \longrightarrow \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{P}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}} = \dot{\mathbf{d}} \\ \mathbf{S}^T \dot{\mathbf{e}} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{Y}^T \dot{\lambda}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \mu_k = \mathbf{M}_0^T \dot{\lambda} \\ \mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{Y}^T \dot{\lambda} = 0 \\ \bar{\mathbf{f}}^T \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{Y}^T \dot{\lambda} = 1 \\ (\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{s}^+ - \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{s}^- - \mathbf{M}_0)^T \dot{\lambda} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$